

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,241	11/15/2001	Ulrich Bley	(10111227)	9275
24972	7590 06/27/2003			
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP			EXAMINER	
666 FIFTH A NEW YORK	· · <del>-</del>		PIASCIK, SUSAN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	
		DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicar	it(s)			
	10/001,241	BLEY ET	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan L Piascik	3643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspond	ience address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howeve y within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX a, cause the application to b	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be cons (6) MONTHS from the mailing di ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.	idered timely. ate of this communication. § 133).			
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 /						
, <u> </u>	nis action is non-fina					
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considerati	on.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirem	ent.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		_				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 November 2001</u> is/a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			Α.			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 t	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f	).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).	National Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a pr	ovisional application).			
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>	• •		21.			
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) lotice of Informal Patent Applither:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. The Examiner has reviewed the assignment provided by the Applicants and has modified the rejections accordingly.

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign not mentioned in the description: 15. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "said outlet chamber" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3643

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rink et al. ('097) in view of Jeong.

In regards to **claim 1**, Rink et al. teach a gas generator comprising an inner (24) and an outer (12) tube arranged concentrically one in the other. The inner tube (24) forms a combustion chamber, containing fuel and being closed with a cover plate (16) and an end plate (14). An igniter tube (27) extends through the combustion chamber and joins the cover plate (16) to the end plate (14). An ignition element is disposed in the cover plate (16). The ignition element has an outlet opening (58) for the ignition gases in communication with the igniter tube (27). A longitudinal piston (34 – see column 5, lines 49-50) is disposed in the igniter tube (27). The igniter tube (27) has radial openings (72) leading into the combustion chamber. Rink et al. fail to disclose the igniter tube joined in the end plate to an outlet. However, Jeong teach a similar gas generator comprising an igniter tube (46) having a cover plate (32) and an end plate (52). The igniter tube is joined in the end plate to an outlet (48). Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to modify the gas generator of Rink et al. to include an outlet in the end plate, as taught by Jeong, as an alternate means of releasing the gas from the igniter tube

Page 3

Art Unit: 3643

Regarding **claim 2**, Rink et al, as modified by Jeong, disclose a gas generator wherein a discharge chamber (50- Jeong) is disposed in the end plate (52- Jeong). The outlet of the igniter tube (46 – Jeong) opens into the discharge chamber (50- Jeong). The discharge chamber (50- Jeong) is connected through blowout openings (unnumbered) to an afterburning chamber (40- Jeong) disposed between the outer (30 – Jeong) and inner (46 – Jeong) tubes.

In regards to **claim 3**, Rink et al, as modified by Jeong, teach a gas generator wherein the piston (62 – Jeong) is joined to the cover plate (64- Jeong) with a break-away edge (70 – Jeong).

Regarding **claim 4**, Rink et al., as modified by Jeong, disclose a gas generator wherein an area (36 – Jeong) for receiving the piston (62 – Jeong) is disposed in the discharge chamber (50 – Jeong) behind the discharge openings (unnumbered) in the direction of flow. See Jeong Figure 4.

In regards to **claim 5**, Rink et al, as modified by Jeong, teach a gas generator wherein the number of radial openings in the igniter tube increase towards the outlet. See Rink et al. column 4, lines 35-44.

Regarding **claim 6**, Rink et al, as modified by Jeong, disclose a gas generator wherein discharge openings (40) are disposed in the outer tube (12).

In regards to **claim 7**, Rink et al, as modified by Jeong, teach a gas generator wherein the discharge openings and blow-out holes are closed by a membrane. See Rink et al. column 3, lines 25-29.

Regarding **claim 8**, Rink et al, as modified by Jeong, teach a gas generator wherein after the ignition of the ignition element the piston tears open the blow-out openings. See Rink et al. column 6, lines 39-56.

Art Unit: 3643

In regards to **claim 9**, Rink et al, as modified by Jeong, teach a gas generator further comprising cooling elements disposed in the afterburning chamber. See Rink et al. column 3, lines 1-10.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan L Piascik whose telephone number is (703)305-0299. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on (703)308-2574. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-7687 for regular communications and (703)305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-7687.

slp June 24, 2003

PETER AL POON

Pote m Fran

Page 5

SUPERVISE PER SHORT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600